UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,322	02/09/2005	Carolus De Bie	GN02029	5229
Stephen Funk	7590 07/16/200	EXAMINER		
Keating & Beni Suite 850	nett LLP	KASSA, HILINA S		
8180 Greenbord	o Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22	2102		2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/505,322	DE BIE, CAROLUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	HILINA S. KASSA	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(from the mailing date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NO v); er form for appeal by materially re	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	」 will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil	•	_
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	,	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/56/08) Paper No(s)		
/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Hilina S Kassa/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments made for cliams 1 and 8 are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Azima does not teach or disclose "defining for each color a plurality of bands constituting the output data". With respect to the stated argument, the specification in page 3, lines 1-4 states that the the format of the generated auxiliary output data is typically used for proofers and in page i.e. also color separated and sceened output. In page 4, lines 5-17 of Applicant's specification, it is stated that the output data is a plurality of bands 11-14 constitute the output data 10.

Having stated from the Applicant's specification of the stated limitation, the Examiner relies on the teachings of Azima et al. "defining for each color a plurality of bands constituting the output data", In column 3, lines 48-55, Azima et al. discloses imaging an image on a proofer i.e, considerd as the output data, that includes creating a page having once or more separations with an imaging application thereby creating a raster for each color separation associated with the page. Therefore, Azima et al. teaches the stated arguement. Azima et al. and Tsunekawa are combinable because they are from the same field of endavour i.e. STATIC PRESENTATION PROCESSING (E.G., PROCESSING DATA FOR PRINTER).